

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/832,190 04/11/2001		04/11/2001	Toshihumi Takada	04208.0101 1562	
22852	52 7590 10/14/2003			EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP				LEON, EDWIN A	
1300 I STREET, NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005				2833	
				DATE MAILED: 10/14/2003	1

DATE MAILED: 10/14/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.S. Patent and Trademark Of							
2) Notice of Draft 3) Information Dis	sperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) sclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal Par	PTO-413) Paper No(s) tent Application (PTO-152)				
Attachment(s)	rences Cited (PTO-892)						
15) Acknow	ledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 a	and/or 121.				
a) 🔲 Th	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
			n No.				
ĺ	Certified copies of the priority documents i	have been received					
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
	35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
1	th or declaration is objected to by the Exar						
	oposed drawing correction filed oni proved, corrected drawings are required in reply		/ed by the Examiner.				
Appli	cant may not request that any objection to the connection filed on	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	awing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepte						
	pecification is objected to by the Examiner.						
Application Pa	•						
8) Claim	(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
7)⊠ .Claim	(s) <u>2-4,6 and 8</u> is/are objected to.		•				
6)⊠ Claim	n(s) <u>1, 5, and 7</u> is/are rejected.						
5)⊠ Claim	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9</u> is/are allowed.						
4a) Of	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
4)⊠ Claim	n(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.						
Disposition of	Claims	:x parte Quayle, 1935 С.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
3) Sinc	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
2a)⊠ This	action is FINAL. 2b) This	s action is non-final.					
1)⊠ Res	ponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 Ju</u>	<u>uly 2003</u> .					
- Failure to rep	for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will be within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eived by the Office later than three months after the mailing of term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	course the emplication to become ADAND CALL	the mailing date of this communication.				
- Extensions o	NED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. f time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.130 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim	nely filed				
I chod for itel	Siy						
The	MAILING DATE of this communication appe	Edwin A León ears on the cover sheet with the co	2833				
	mee Action Cammary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ffice Action Summary	09/832,190	TAKADA ET AL.				
'		Application No.	Applicant(s)				

Art Unit: 2833

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment filed July 23, 2003 in which Claim 9 has been added, have been place of record in the file as Paper No. 19.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 5, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Komatsu (U.S. Patent No. 5,051,101). With regard to Claim 1, Komatsu discloses a card connector for accepting a card (C), which has a recess (81) in its side surface, the card connector comprising: an eject mechanism (8,9) having an eject member (8,9), the eject member (8,9) being adapted to move in a card insertion direction as the card (C) is inserted into the connector during a card insertion operation and to move in a card eject direction in response to a card eject operation to eject the card (C); an elastic locking piece (11) having a locking portion (13) to engage a single side of the card (C) in the recess (81) of the card (C) and a stationary portion (9a) fixed to the eject member (8,9);

Art Unit: 2833

and a locking piece guide means (12) for guiding the elastic locking piece (11) during the card eject operation and the card insertion operation wherein the locking piece guide means (12) causes the elastic locking piece (11) to become elastically deformed during the card eject operation to move the locking portion (13) away from the recess (81) of the card (C) and wherein the: locking piece guide means (12) causes the elastic locking piece (11) to become released from the elastic deformation during the card insertion operation thereby causing the elastic locking piece (11) to move toward the card (C) by an elastic recovery force to engage the locking portion (13) in the recess (81) of the card (C). See Figs. 1-5 and 10.

With regard to Claim 5, Komatsu discloses the locking piece guide means (12) being a member projecting from the connector housing (2) to engage a part, of the elastic locking piece (11) thereby causing the locking portion (13) to move away from the side surface of the card (C) and towards a side wall portion of the connector housing (2) during the card eject operation and to move toward the side surface of the card (C) during the card insertion operation. See Figs. 1-5 and 10.

With regard to Claim 7, Komatsu discloses that when a second card (C) without the recess (81) is inserted, the locking portion (13) of the elastic locking piece (11) works as a braking piece that presses against a wall surface of the second card (C) to apply to the card (C) a braking force acting in a direction opposing card retraction. See Figs. 1-5 and 10.

Application/Control Number: 09/832,190

Art Unit: 2833

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 4

4. Claim 9 is allowed.

5. Claims 2-4, 6 and 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The references fail to teach, disclose, or suggest, either alone or in combination, the locking piece guide means having a protruding portion projecting from the elastic locking piece; and a guide wall formed in the connector housing and having a tapered surface to guide the protruding portion as the eject member moves in the card insertion or eject direction, a spring member disposed between the eject member and the connector housing and a locking mechanism for locking the eject member and in combination with the rest of the limitations of the base and intermediate claims.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed July 23, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to Applicant's arguments regarding Claim 1 that the Komatsu reference doesn't show the elastic locking piece being elastically deformed in the card eject operation and an elastic recovery force engaging the locking portion in the recess of the card, Applicant's attention is directed to Figs. 3-5 in which Komatsu clearly discloses the locking piece guide means (12) causes the elastic locking piece

Art Unit: 2833

(11) to become elastically deformed during the card eject operation to move the locking portion (13) away from the recess (81) of the card (C) and wherein the: locking piece guide means (12) causes the elastic locking piece (11) to become released from the elastic deformation during the card insertion operation thereby causing the elastic locking piece (11) to move toward the card (C) by an elastic recovery force to engage the locking portion (13) in the recess (81) of the card (C). Applicant is reminded that the term "elastic" is defined as being flexible or resilient and therefore it is considered that the locking piece (11) is elastic. Furthermore, Applicant only claims an elastic recovery force engaging the locking portion in the recess of the card and not a self-elastic recovery force. It is the Examiner's opinion that the Komatsu reference read on Applicant's claims in their broadest interpretation.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 09/832,190

Art Unit: 2833

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Edwin A. León whose telephone number is (703) 308-

6253. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 10:00-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Paula A. Bradley can be reached on (703) 308-2319. The fax phone

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)

308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final

communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0956.

Edwin A. Leon

AU 2833

EAL

October 8, 2003

P. AUSTIN BRADLEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Page 6